

MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED EXPANSION IN EXISTING UNIT OF CI & SG IRON CASTING AT GAT NO.201, VILLAGE-SANASWADI, TALUKA-SHIRUR, DISTRICT – PUNE (412 208), MAHARASHTRA BY PROJECT PROPONENT M/S NEOSYM INDUSTRY LIMITED, GAT NO. 201, VILLAGE-SANASWADI, TALUKA-SHIRUR, DISTRICT – PUNE (412 208), MAHARASHTRA

The Environment Public Hearing in respect of proposed expansion in existing unit of CI & SG Iron Casting at Gat No.201, Village-Sanaswadi, Taluka-Shirur, District – Pune (412 208), Maharashtra by Project Proponent M/s Neosym Industry Limited, Gat No.201, Village-Sanaswadi, Taluka – Shirur, District – Pune (412 208), Maharashtra was conducted on Wednesday, the 17th January, 2024 at 12.00 noon at Project Site – Neosym Industry Limited, Gat No.201, Village – Sanaswadi, Taluka – Shirur, District – Pune (412 208), Maharashtra.

Shri Vidyasagar Killedar, Sub Regional Officer, Pune-2, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Pune and Convener of the Environment Public Hearing Committee welcomed Jyoti Kadam, Additional District Magistrate, Pune and Chairperson of the Environment Public Hearing Committee; Shri Ravindra Andhale, Regional Officer, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Pune and Member of the Environment Public Hearing Committee; Environmentalists, NGOs, Journalists, Representative of media channels and Company Officials and local people/participants who were present and with permission of Chairperson. Environment Public Hearing Committee started the proceedings.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee informed that as per the Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India, (i.e. MoEF &

CC, Gol) dated 14th September, 2006 as amended on 1st December, 2009, it is mandatory to conduct prior public consultation to certain projects which are covered in the schedule of the said Notification.

He informed that Maharashtra Pollution Control Board was in receipt of application from Project Proponent M/s Neosym Industry Limited, Gat No.201, Village-Sanaswadi, Taluka – Shirur, District – Pune (412 208), Maharashtra on 12-09-2023 to conduct Environmental Public Hearing for their proposed expansion in existing unit of CI & SG Iron Casting at Gat No.201, Village-Sanaswadi, Taluka-Shirur, District – Pune (412 208), Maharashtra.

Convener further informed as per EIA Notification, 2006 the category of proposed project falls under B 3 (A) - Metallurgical Industries - All Other Non-Toxic Secondary Metallurgical Processing Industry which requires to obtain prior Environmental Clearance from the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Environment & Climate Change Ministry, Maharashtra Government, Mumbai for which prior environmental consultation is mandatory.

Convener informed that the aim of conducting prior public consultation is to make aware, local people who can be participant in the hearing and they should know the developmental activities and Environment Management Plan of the unit.

Project Proponent had submitted online prescribed application alongwith pre-feasibility report to the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Environment & Climate Change Ministry, Maharashtra Government, Mumbai and it has been considered and given online approval (ToR) on 11-05-2023.

After sanction from District Collector, Pune to conduct the Physical Environment Public Hearing on Friday, the 17th January, 2024 at 12.00 noon, and as per the Notification dated 14-09-2006 issued by Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India, (MoEF & CC, Gol),

New Delhi and subsequent amendment on 01-12-2019, Member Secretary, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Mumbai has constituted Environment Public Hearing Committee vide Board's Office Order No. E-91 of 2023 under letter no. BO/JD (WPC)/PH/B- 231221 FTS- 0119 dated 21-12-2023 as under:-

- | | |
|---|-------------------|
| 1) District Magistrate-Pune
or his representative not below
the rank of an Additional District
Magistrate | - Chairman |
| 2) Representative of Maharashtra
Pollution Control Board, Mumbai -
Regional Officer – Pune,
Maharashtra Pollution Control Board,
Pune | - Member |
| 3) Sub Regional Officer, Pune-2
Maharashtra Pollution Control Board,
Pune | - Convener |

As per said Notification, 30 days' advance public notice was published by Sub Regional Officer, Pune-2, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Pune in the Local Newspaper in Daily Loksatta dated 16-12-2024 for Marathi and in National Newspaper Indian Express dated 16-12-20024 for English. The public were appealed to send their suggestions, views, doubts or objections regarding the proposed unit.

Also copy of EIA report and executive summery were made available in Marathi and in English at various notified Government offices as under:-

1. District Collector Office, Pune.
2. District Industries Center, Pune.
3. Zilla Parishad, Pune.

4. Regional Office Pune & Sub Regional Office Pune-II, MPC Board, Jog Centre, 2nd & 3rd Floor, Wakdewadi, Pune-Mumbai Road, Pune- 411 003.
5. Member Secretary, M.P.C. Board, Kalpataru Point, 3rd Floor, Sion-Matunga Scheme Road No. 8, Opp. Cine Planet, Near Sion Circle, Sion (E), Mumbai - 22.
6. Zonal Office, West Central Zone, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Integrated Regional Office, New Secretariat Building, Ground Floor, East Wing, Civil Line, Nagpur- 440 001.
7. Environment Department, Government of Maharashtra, New Administrative Building, 15th Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032
8. Sub-Divisional officer, Shirur, Tal- Shirur, Dist- Pune.
9. Tahasildar Shirur, Tal-Shirur, Dist. Pune.
10. Grampachayat Office :- Sanaswadi, Shikrapur, Talegaon Dhamdhere, Jategaon Kh, Darekarwadi, Rautwadi, Malwadi, Pimple Jagtap, Kasari Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune

Upto the date of public hearing i.e. upto today, Sub Regional Officer, Pune-2, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Pune has received 06 suggestions regarding the above project and five of them have supported the project.

A person has registered an objection yesterday evening on 16-01-2024 and has demanded that the said public hearing be held in a government premises. However, as per the Environmental Impact Assessment Notification, 2006, it has been directed to conduct environmental public hearings at the project site.

Convener informed that an opportunity is given to all participants to raise any doubts, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed project in environmental angle, which can be submitted by orally or in writing also.

Convener asserted that this Committee is only for recording public opinion, views, suggestions, objections regarding the proposed project in environmental angle only and the Committee has no right to approve, reject or recommend the project. The suggestions/objections raised by the participants in the public hearing will be noted and it will be included in the minutes of the meeting. Similarly, the revised Environmental Assessment Report of the Project Proponent and minutes of the meeting after approval of Chairperson will be submitted through MPCB Head Office to State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Environment & Climate Change Ministry, Maharashtra Government, Mumbai after taking note of the video recording of the said meeting, suggestions and objections recorded in the meeting. An Expert Committee there takes further decision regarding the same He requested Chairperson of the Environment Public Hearing Committee to inform Project Proponent to start the presentation.

With the permission of the Chairperson, the Environmental Consultant of the project made a presentation on the entire Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for the proposed project.

Environmental Consultant of the project said that the total capital investment of the said project is 50.0 crores. Whereas the capital cost for environment management is approximately - Rs.6,12,00,000/- while the recurring cost is - Rs.65,90,000.0.

Two STPs for treating domestic waste water from the said project are in operation with MBBR technology and the treated effluent will be used to develop the green belt of the project.

The working ETP will be upgraded and Reverse Osmosis (RO) and evaporators will be commissioned at two levels to process the industrial waste water generated in the project. Treated industrial waste water will be used for cooling purposes. The said project will be ZLD –Zero Liquid

Discharge Project. Not a single drop of treated wastewater will be generated outside the project.

Most advanced air pollution control equipment's i.e. bag filters and scrubbers will be installed in the project to air pollution. Solid waste and hazardous solid waste will be disposed of as per the directives of Maharashtra Pollution Control Board.

After completion of the project, 100 persons will require for the project and man-power will be taken from the local villages only.

After the presentation, Convener, Environmental Public Hearing Committee asked the participants to come forward if they want to register environmental suggestions, objections, personal opinions, suggestions or objections to the proposed project. All suggestions and objections will be recorded

Similarly, those who desire to submit written suggestions/objections should submit it. Project Proponent is directed to reply in writing and it will be submitted to the Government along with the minutes of the meeting and revised EIA. While asking questions, full name and residential address should be informed.

Views, questions, suggestions/objections raised during the Environmental Public Hearing and the answers/promises given by the Project Proponent/ Project Environment Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee :-

1) Dr. Kiran Kulkarni, Retd. DRDO Scientist and Founder Member of Environment Club of India :-

A) In presentation stated that resin will be used as raw material. So where is the resin from will he use a hardener?

- The environmental consultant said that the resin used as raw material is synthetic. Resin will be used as binding agent and lubricating agent for the sand stock that is to be kept.

- B) The presentation shows that the rest of the sand is going to land fill. So how are you going to do it?
- Environment consultant said that it is delivered to the authorized organization declared by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board for safe land filling and the concerned organization itself takes it and an agreement has been made in this regard.
- C) Dr. Kulkarni further asked how you have done soil sampling? What procedure has been followed and which tools have been used for soil sampling?
- The environmental consultant said that initially, from where the sample is to be taken, the waste is removed and a 4'-6' pit is made. The soil there is placed on the impervious layer of the soil. It has to be preserved by mixing it and cloning and quartering method.
 - Environmental Consultant informed that the Augur has been used and explained the procedure followed in respect of proper sampling of such material. He told that impervious site used for sampling at a 6 to 8 inch depth.

2) Shri Sanjay Laxman Jakate, Gut No.206, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He told that Gat 204 to 235 near to industry is are ancestral farms are nearby farmers are being affected due to pollution of company. The agriculture produce has decreased to 20% of earlier produce. We have given number of representations to company administration in past but no policy decision is taken to deal with it. MPCB has given us good opportunity to submit our grievances through this public hearing and I'm grateful for the same. The major traditional occupation is agriculture of nearby residence. Though the Company has provided employment to the nearby residents, expansion impacts are to be taken in to consideration. The

explanation given in respect of land sampling and drinking water is not correct. Every house has to purchase 20 litres drinking water every day and therefore in respect of expansion all these grievances should be taken into consideration.

The noise level in the company is definitely high. The dust that is released by the company in the evening is affecting our children. However, due to the potential impact that expansion may have on us, we request that natural justice be given to us.

3) Shri Dnyanoba Bhujbal, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He informed that he is residing adjoining company informed that he has no problem from the activities of the Company. Nearby people don't have any problem but the people residing away from company are unnecessary raising complaint about company.

4) Shri Ratnakant Pandharinath Bhujbal, Retired Serviceman, Residence – Gut No.210, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He has stated that lot of dust is emitted from the company which also causes nuisance not only to nearby residents but also to company employees. The company should make arrangement for drinking water and livelihood of nearby residents. He complained about MPCB that they are not taking complainants into confidence and calling employees of the company at the time of investigation into complaints. He stated that the Gram panchayat could have informed nearby residents about public hearing fixed today. They are not reading newspaper he had come to know about public hearing only after seeing the boards today only. He wanted that the company should take corrective measures before expansion.

5) Shri Ganesh Laxman Kanade, Ex-Sarpanch Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He has stated that the company has taken necessary steps for making improvement from time to time. However, in respect of

expansion it should take into consideration the grievances of nearby residents by taking additional steps. He also pointed out that 2000-3000 employees from nearby villages are working in the company and therefore the company should be operated in the interest of their livelihood. The company is contributing to local taxes and improving education and health in nearby area which is very important and should be taken into consideration.

6) Shri Gulab Babanrao Ranpise, Ex-Sarpanch, Jategaon Khurd, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He stated that it is my genuine wish that company should grow. I was also earlier working with one of the reputed companies for last 25 years and very well experienced the effects of non-operation of company on the employees. Company has employed number of local residents of whom livelihood dependent on company and therefore I support the project. However, they should go through factual grievances of nearby residents.

7) Shri Shahaji Daphal, At Post - Dhamare, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He supported the company expansion and informed that he has seen various social activities of company done through its CSR initiatives. Livelihood of lot of workers and their families is dependent on the company. There is lot of unemployment in the area and it is necessary that the present employments need to be protected and need for projects generating additional employment. However, both the employment and nearby residents should be protected by the company.

8) Shri. Vishal Viththal Jakate, Gut No.214, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

Shri Jakate stated that because of company, lot of development has taken place in the surrounding areas. We are getting good rents and

other facilities due to development. We therefore support expansion project.

9) **Shri Amit Tanaji Sonawane, Dy. Sarpanch, Vajewadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-**

He has supported the expansion of the project, but insisted that the grievance about drinking water source and pollution problems should be solved/controlled. He stated that 3,000 employees and their families as well as nearby activities are dependent on the company should be taken into consideration. He requested Chairman of the public hearing panel to provide solution to the problems in the area and promote employment.

10) **Shri Chintamani Vishwasrao Dhamdhere, Residence -Village Talegaon Dhamdhere, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-**

He opposed expansion project stating that he has lodged complaint with MPCB 6 months before but does not know what action pollution control board has taken. The grievances of local people should not be neglected for the benefit of few people of company.

11) **Shri. Navnath Vishwanath Hargude, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-**

He has given example of shut down Ispat company due to which the development of the area has been gone back to 10 years and requested public hearing panel that not only 3,000 employees but also the small occupations like pan shop to big occupations and nearby development is dependent on the operation of the company, He suggested that the problems in respect of drinking water and other grievance can be solved and the expansion is necessary.

- Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee requested to communicate deficiencies in the presentation and further suggestions in respect of expansion project. He pointed out that all

grievances are recorded and the participants should give suggestion about the presentation displayed to them.

12) **Shri Ganesh Darekar, Ex-Deputy Sarpanch, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-**

He stated that 3,000 employees are working in the company of which 40% are employed from nearby area. Their livelihood along with family members dependent on the working of the company. In respect of grievances including drinking water if filtration plant with necessary arrangements provided the problem can be solved. He supports expansion project.

13) **Shri. Sunanda Reddy, Environmentalist, Telangana:-**

He supported expansion project because it is having ZLD plant. Member, Environmental Public Hearing Committee asked them to submit their written suggestions, if any.

14) **Mr. Gopal Bhujbal, Ex Deputy Sarpanch & Present Member Gram Panchayat Kasari, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-**

He welcome MPCB initiative of conducting public hearing it will help in curbing pollution He suggested that measures should be taken regarding dust and other pollution emitted from the project. He has raised doubt about the 10 to 15 % employment from nearby area and CSR funding, He further suggested that whatever compliances shown in the presentation if done, then why grievances and problems are faced by the people nearby. He expressed his opinion that if pollution control board performs its duty effectively to control pollution, there should not be any pollution. He supported expansion subject to solving of the problems.

15) **Shri Varad Shivaji Yadav, 1st year student MSc. Environment Science, Fergusson College, Pune:-**

He asked is there any planning for 50 Cr. CSR Fund? Environment Consultant replied that there is one correction, it is CER (i.e.

Corporate Environment Responsibility) Fund and not CSR fund. The CER fund is 1% of total project cost. The project cost is 50 Crore, therefore the CER fund is 50 Lakh rupees.

The said CER fund will be spent for various social works in the villages as directed by the Collector as per the orders of the Collector. For this, various Gram Panchayats will be contacted and co-ordinated with the Collector's office in this regard.

Shri Yadav further asked what is the time frame for spending this reserve fund. Project Proponent replied that they would be incurred within a period of 2 years after the approval of the Competent - Authority. Shri Yadav requested to spend some funds for nature conservation.

Similarly, the said company has been conducting various social activities in the area from time to time.

About 55-60 students from the Department of Environmental Science of Ferguson College attended the meeting to witness and participate in the process of the environmental public hearing meeting. Their representatives presented their statement.

In the statement, the Department of Environmental Science wanted to conduct a study on vehicle scrap and utilization of sand in the process. Similarly, the green belts of the project will be used after the domestic and industrial waste water treatment in the project, which is a very good system.

Shri Yadav requested to spend allocated money to do something for the protection of nature.

16) Shri Shankar Bhujbal :-

Farmer asked about statement made in respect of provision of Rs. 50 Crore be made clarification about the same on account of Rs. 50

Lakhs shown for CER. Environment Consultant clarified that the slide clearly shows the provision of Rs. 50 Lakh for CER Rs. 50 Cr. Shown as total cost of project.

17) Mrs. Monika Navnath Hargude, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist.

Pune:-

She has stated that development and protection of employment both are necessary. Though company has provided employment and development to this area, the problems and grievances of nearby area should also taken into consideration and solved. Air, water or solid waste management are important for the protection of environment both the aspects should be taken into consideration. There is no opposition for expansion but at the same time local issues also should not be neglected.

18) Shri Vilas Pokale, NGO -Clean Garbage Management :-

He asked whatever arrangement are made for environment protection and under which category (residential / commercial / industrial) may be explained. Accredited consultant has informed for the dust particulate the company is installing new Secondary Emission Control System and the provision is made in EMP cost. ZLD technology being provided for liquid waste generated from the company. Noise pollution control details are given. The project comes under industrial zone.

19) Shri. Balasaheb Chavan, Shikrapur, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He stated that Mrs. Monika Hargude has explained in good manner what can be done. The grievances of Bhujbal, Jakate, Narke etc. should be properly attended. 'Live and let live' should be followed. The company should remain there but the problems of nearby residents should be solved. 80% of local employees are from

Shikrapur who are affected. For their area substantial CSR should be spent and their problems to be sorted out.

20) Shri Rajendra Darekar, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune:-

He states that he had given one complaint in respect of well pollution in 2009. Company examined the quality of his well by collecting sample, which report was not showing any deterioration of well water. He was satisfied with the report. He further stated that earlier black sand was transported in open vehicle and was causing nuisance by spreading in the transportation area. However, after our complaint the company started the enclosed transportation and problem was sorted out. He has suggested that before making complaint it is to be examined whether water/air quality is deteriorated or not. Due to company a number of development activities started with employment in the surrounding area. The company has already done number of CSR activities including tree plantation, Support to the local schools. If we wish to have CSR fund from company we should approach company with bonafide and concrete proposal which is good for the society. We support the expansion project.

21) Shri Deepak Balasaheb Dhamdhere, Owner of Molding shop near company.

He requested Chairman of the Public Hearing panel to make available employment in the nearby area and solve the problems of the said area. He supports expansion project.

22) Shri Pramod Kame, Retd. Regional Officer, MPCB & Member, Retired Officers' & Employees' Welfare Association made a

suggestion to whether it is possible to have closed vehicles for transportation of used sand to avoid any air dispersion.

- Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee stated that till now we have heard around 20-22 oral submissions. He appealed to

the participants of public hearing to submit written submissions also and further stated that it will be included in the proceedings of public hearing and will be forwarded to the government.

23) Shri. Ankush Sopanrao Fulse, Regional Officer, MPCB & Member, Retired Officers' & Employees' Welfare Association

He asked what is the level of pollution at present and what will be pollution after expansion? Is there any legal action pending against the company?

- Expert consultant replied to the question. He stated that for treatment of existing effluent necessary treatment and disposal arrangements are provided the details are displayed on the board. The company has adopted HRTS technology approved by NEERI which will consume 100% treated trade effluent after achieving standards in the process and nothing will be discharged outside the factory premises. For expansion the company is going to provide additional ETP with RO and evaporator which will result in ZLD. Inlet and outlet parameters are displayed on the board. This is regularly monitored and checked by MPCB, third party laboratory and the company, all parameters are within limits. For treatment of domestic sewage company has set up 2 Sewage Treatment Plants of MBBR technology. The details of the same with parameters standards are displayed on the board. Regarding mitigation of air pollution at molding, shot blasting and paint booth for existing activity sufficient number of APC systems are provided and operational as per MPCB norms for expansion secondary emission system – newly recommended by central govt. is being provided apart from that paint booth is provided with scrubber system and stack and additional stack for rotoblast is proposed.

24) Shri Kailas Narke, R/o Talegaon Dhamdhere, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune –

He stated that he thankful to MPCB for arranging this public hearing on such a huge scale. He stated that the industry is not using much of chemical except some Resine for which scrubber system is already provided. He further stated that some people complained that there is water pollution due to this company but is not true as this company is using very little quantity of water in the process. He suggested that industry should provide Complaint box at the gate for people to put suggestions/complaints. He also emphasized need of EHS officer of the company to educate people on the environment aspect. He stated that in project presentation there is provision of CER and not CSR. The company should solve problems of people as from time to time it has taken steps to make improvement.

25) Shri Ajit Darekar, Ex-sarpanch, Sanaswadi, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune -

He has stated that nobody is against the company's operation, the company has already taken steps and not responsible for water pollution there are number of other companies causing water pollution whose water even cannot be touched, what action MPCB has taken against them? Why public hearing is not held for other such companies? May be clarified. This company has employed number of local employees and I support the project.

- Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee replied to the question of Shri Darekar stating that public hearing is conducted as per EIA notification and for this company because of expansion. Earlier the company has provided primary air pollution control system, after our visit, we suggested to provide secondary emission system which will reduce air emission to a considerable extent. We always welcome the suggestion of public at large and will not spare anyone causing pollution. At the moment, we will only talk about of

Neosym and if there are any complaints about other companies we will take it up separately.

26) Shri Suhas Shantilal Darekar –

I am working in Kimberly Clark company for last 28 years and currently also the union president there. He has suggested to examine the truthfulness of complaints with regards to the problems mentioned therein. He said that he is residing at 4.0 km away from the company and some of complainants are very much away from the company from 4 km to 20 km or more. There are complaints of extortion and blackmailing of the company which needs to be examined. Some of the complainants are unnecessary making complaints with ulterior motives which needs to be examined first.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee requested Jyoti Kadam, Chairman of the public hearing panel to address the participants.

Chairperson, Environment Public Hearing Committee stated that she has heard all the participants, some of them were in favour of company, some against the company. She has asked company to comply with all things necessary for pollution control and also asked MPCB to ensure that the company adheres to environment norms. The problems of local people can be solved out of CER funds and the company should take definite steps to solve those problems.

She made a special note of the attendance of students from of Fergusson College Environment Science with their professors, they got practical experience of public hearing. She asked whether students had good learning experience? They admitted that they have learned a lot from the participation in the public hearing by raising their hands. She expects that good learning experience will be taken ahead in their future. Company was instructed to identify problems of the local people

and make improvement in its working to solve those problems. Company should take all the measures necessary to mitigate the pollution as may be required. She informed convener to complete the procedure of public hearing.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee requested Member, Environment Public Hearing Committee to address the participants.

Member, Environment Public Hearing Committee stated that most of the participants expressed their views, some in support and some against the company. As a public hearing panel, the role is limited to conduct public hearing and submit its report to the Government for taking further decision, He hoped that appropriate decision will be taken by the Government on the basis of report.

He further asked two questions –

- 1.) Whatever canteen waste is generated is handed over to outside facility, why company is not providing bio- compost making in their premises instead of sending it to local body?
- 2.) Whether CGWA permission is obtained for extraction of ground water?

Project Proponent assured to provide bio-composting plant and also informed that CGWA permission is already obtained.

Member, Environment Public Hearing Committee directed to declare public hearing to be concluded.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee on behalf of Chairperson, Environment Public Hearing Committee gave vote of thanks and declared as public hearing is concluded.

Meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

Enclosed 56 written suggestions/objections as received.



(Vidyasagar Killedar)

Convener,

Environment Public Hearing
Committee

And

Sub Regional Officer, Pune-2
Maharashtra Pollution Control
Board, Pune



(Ravindra Andhale)

Member,

Environment Public Hearing
Committee

And

Regional Officer, Maharashtra
Pollution Control Board, Pune



(Jyoti Kadam)

Chairperson,

Environment Public Hearing Committee

And

Additional District Magistrate, Pune
District – Pune