MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC HEARING OF PROPOSED PROJECT FOR MARKI-MANGLI COAL BLOCK- III OPEN CAST MINING PROJECT AT VILLAGES –ARDHWAN, BHENDALA, RUIKOT, MUKUTBAN, TAL. ZARI-JAMNI, DIST. YAVATMAL, MAHARASHTRA FOR PROPOSED PRODUCTION CAPACITY OF COAL-0.21 MTPA, PROPOSED AREA-282.0 HECTOR PROPOSED BY PROJECT PROPONENT M/S B S ISPAT LTD., VILL. SALORI YENSA, P.O.CHONORA, TAL. WARORA, DIST. CHANDRAPUR

Date : 03/11/2018
Time : 12:00 PM
Venue : Project Site, Village Mukutban, Tal. Zari-Jamni, Dist. Yavatmal

Preamble :-

M/s. B.S. Ispat Ltd., Kh. No. 97, 101 & 190, Village Salori Yensa, Po. Chinora, Tal. Warora, Dist. Chandrapur has applied for Environmental Clearance to the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi for proposed project of Marki Mangli Coal Block-III Opencast Mining Project, Village Ardhwan, Bhendala, Ruikot, Mukutban, Tal. Zarijamni, Dist. Yavatmal Project area – 275 Ha, Proposed Production Capacity of Coal – 0.21 MTPA. The matter regarding same was discussed in Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) in the Ministry of Thermal & Coal Mining Projects, Delhi in its 47th meeting held on 30th November – 1st December, 2015 & 49th Meeting held on 7th & 8th January, 2016. The Terms of Reference (TOR) for the said project has been approved in the meeting dated 30th November – 1st December, 2015 and 7th & 8th January, 2016 copy enclosed herewith as annexure-I. It has been mentioned in the said TOR that public hearing issues raised and commitments made by the project proponent on the same shall be included separately in EIA/EMP report.

Accordingly, project proponent has submitted an application to Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB) for conducting Public Hearing as per the provisions of EIA Notification -2006 and TOR issued by Govt. of India. In this connection, MPC Board decided to hold public hearing in respect of the said project on 3rd November 2018 in consultation with District Collector, Yavatmal. Maharashtra Pollution Control Board has published public notices in Marathi newspaper namely "Sakal" and English newspaper "The Hitvada" on dated 29/09/2018 and Marathi newspaper "Deshonnati" on 30/09/2018. The appeal was made to the concerned to submit objections,

suggestions, complaints, comments, if any, in respect of the said project to concerned regulatory authorities.

Copy of draft E.I.A. report along with executive summary in respect of the said project were made available in English/ Marathi in the following offices to apprise the public.

1) Environment Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, 15th Floor, New Administrative Building, Mantralaya, Mumbai – 400 032;
2) Joint Director (Water Pollution Control), Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Kalpataru Point, 2nd, 3rd and 4th Floor, Opp. Cine Planet, Near Sion Circle, Sion (E), Mumbai – 400 022;
3) Regional Office & Sub-Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, 1st Floor, Udyog Bhavan, Opp. Bus Stand, Railway Station Road, Chandrapur;
4) District Magistrate Office, Yavatmal;
5) Zilla Parishad, Yavatmal;
6) District Industries Center, Yavatmal;
7) Sub Divisional Magistrate Office, Tal. Wani, Dist. Yavatmal;
8) Tahsil Office,Zari-Jamni, Dist-Yavatmal;
9) Grampanchat Office-Ardhwan, Bhendala(Ruikot), Mukutban, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist-Yavatmal.

All the Authorities at Sr. No. 4 to 9 above, were also requested to arrange vide publicity within their respective jurisdiction requesting the interested persons to send their comments to the concerned regulatory authorities.

The venue for the said public hearing was decided at Project Site, Mukutban, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist-Yavatmal, Maharashtra. The said venue was finalized considering easy accessibility to local people on the site of proposed project.

A Public Hearing Panel comprising of the following members was constituted by Maharahstra Pollution Control Board in accordance with the EIA Notification dated 14th September, 2006 and as amended thereto issued by Ministry of Environment, Forest, Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi:-

1. Shri Narendra Fulzele, Additional District Magistrate, Yavatmal. - **Chairman**

2. Shri Raju R. Vasave I/c Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur - **Member**

3. Shri Pratap Jagtap, Sub Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur - **Convener**

The written submissions were received and attendance sheet of Public Hearing Panel as well as the concerns participated for the said public hearing is attached as Annexure-"I" and "II" respectively.

MINUTES OF PUBLIC HEARING :-

At the outset, on behalf of convener of the public hearing panel, Shri Pratap Jagtap, Sub-Regional Officer Chandrapur, MPCB welcomed all those present and commenced the public hearing. He apprised the people with the introductory information about the purpose of the public hearing and appealed them to come forward with suggestions, complaints, objections & comments, if any, about the environmental aspects of the proposed project. He thereafter requested Hon'ble Chairman to commence the hearing procedure.

Hon'ble Chairman briefed people about the concept and objective of Public Hearing. He made an appeal to participants & all to attend the public hearing peacefully and place their views regarding Environment aspect. He stated that videography of the said public hearing is being carried out by MPCB as per the procedure laid down in EIA Notification 2006. Chairman further stated that the presentation about the proposed projects will be given by the project proponent and then the questions/ objections shall be raised by participants. He made an appeal to participants to come forward with their name & address before raising any query/objections so that the name of the participant along with views will be included in the proceedings of meeting. Then Chairman requested project proponent to give the presentation of the projects along with its salient features.

After that Shri. Devendra Sonone & Shri. Shantanu Puranik, Environment Consultant made a Power Point Presentation on the Environment aspects of the projects including salient features of EIA Report in Marathi as well as in English language. The project proponent elaborated the details of proposed project on following grounds;

- Project Description.
- Base Line Data with respect to Air, Water, Land, Noise, Ecology, Settlements, etc.
- Impact likely to occur due to the project on Air, Water, Noise, Hydrology, Settlements and Bio-diversity and forest.
- Mitigation measures and Environment management plan for the project during construction phase as well as operation.
- Other details as outlined in the EIA Report.

Thereafter, convener of Public Hearing announced that the forum is open for question answer. The summary of issues raised and reply submitted by project proponent is as below.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES:

1) **Shri Bandu alias Mahadev Damu Parkhi, resident of Marki Budruk, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal :-**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	He is farmer and cultivating the fields since generations. He objected as below – 1) Due to this coal mining project, there will be ill-effects on the crops; 2) Due to this project, ground water level in the area will decrease, 3) Assurance given by Project Proponent of M/s. Virangana Steel Ltd., in earlier Public Hearing is not fulfilled. In EIA report, there is a planning of tree plantation of 4 Lakhs saplings. In EIA Report, counting of existing trees in 288 Ha. Is not proper. EIA report is misleading. Due to which Public hearing may be cancelled. 4) The ownership of the project cannot be transferred from	1) The Project Proponent informed that earlier combined Environment Clearance was granted to M/s. Virangana Steels Pvt. Ltd. for three block nos. 2, 3 & 4 – Marki-Mangli. However same was cancelled by Hon'ble Supreme Court and awarded to M/s. B.S. Ispat Ltd. by the Government through open bid. 2) After that, M/s B.S. Ispat Ltd., has applied for transfer of all prior approvals of the prior allottee to successful bidder. But MoEFCC has directed to new allottee M/s B. S. Ispat to obtain a fresh Environmental Clearance. 3) The Project Affected Persons (PAPs) will be given job opportunities in the project as per their qualifications. 4) Also the rehabilitation scheme will be implemented as per

	one project proponent to another project proponent. But still the project of M/s. Virangan is transferred to M/s. B.S. Ispat. 5) Yavatmal District is famous for production of high quality cotton. It will be ruined due to coal dust. 6) Affected area of irrigated land shown in EIA report is 2.81% which is not correct. EIA report is silent about existence of wild animals. 7) Local people are not against development, but they are against pollution. Hence, I am against this project. 8) In EIA report project proponent mentioned that ambulance, medical service will be provided. But after commissioning of the project proponent are not providing the same. Assurance given in Public Hearing by Project Proponent is not followed. 9) Project Proponent shall construct separate road for the transportation of coal and not use public road. 10) Blasting will be carried out at a distance of 100 m from the village will create problem to the residents. 11) Land acquisition of farmers shall not be carried out through agent.	Govt. Rules.

2) **Shri Ravindra Sudhakar Kurlewar, resident of Ruikot, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal :-**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	1) The project proponent has acquired the land which is just 50 feet away from the project site. Land acquisition may be carried out after rehabilitation of Village Ruikot. Project Proponent shall construct separate road for transportation of coal. 2) The project proponent should give the rate of ₹25/- lakh per acre, extend job opportunities to PAPs. If PAPs is not ready for the offer of job provided by Project Proponent, then additional compensation of Rs. 5.0 Lakhs per acre may be given to the PAPs. Land acquisition shall not be carried out through agent. The list of the farmers whose land will be acquired shall be published in Gram Panchayat/Tahsil Office. Partial land acquisition shall not be carried out & Land acquisition shall be carried out in single attempt. 3) Yavatmal district is famous for White Gold i.e. Cotton. The agriculture activities will be ruined due to heavy pollution of the project; 4) Ground water level in the area will be decreased due to this project. If our demands are fulfilled by the project proponent then we will support the project.	1) Project Proponent informed that Safety Zone of 100 meters is kept for Ruikot village. After blasting, if local people suffer, then blasting zone will be increased. 2) After increasing are of blasting zone, tree plantation will be carried out as per the directives. 3) If the above measures observed inadequate then Project Proponent will rehabilitate both the villages i.e. Ruikot and Marki Budruk. 4) Project Proponent will give compensation or land acquisition @ ₹25 Lakhs per acre.

3) **Shri Rameshrao Udakwar, Former Sarpanch, Mukutban Village, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal-**

Sr.No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	1) The Project Proponent is bound to follow terms and conditions specified to the previous project proponent. But they are misleading the public; 2) The project proponent first accept the demands of PAPs. The compensation for land acquisition should be ₹25/- lakh per acre and land may be acquired before issuing the clearance to the projects so that project proponent will not mislead the people. 3) Local people are denied for job opportunities. This shall not happen. 4) The safety aspect of all workers should be given top priority. 5) The project proponent should build separate road/by-pass road for coal transportation; 6) The project proponent has still not given any social development facilities to local people. 7) Project proponent are not spraying water to arrest dust pollution. 8) No green belt program is undertaken; 9) Local youth shall be extended skill development program to make them competent. Job	Project Proponent informed that – a) As per demand of local farmers, the compensation for land acquisition will be given. If there is provision in the Land Acquisition Rehabilitation Rule, 2013 (i.e. LAR Rule, 2013) for giving ₹ 25/- lakh per acre for acquisition, it will be given to the PAPs; b) Promising to build separate road is not in their hand. The top management will be informed accordingly. c) The project proponent has informed that the coal procured here will be used for production activities of Steel Plant which is located at Warora. As the percentage of ash in the coal is more hence, project proponent has proposed to install coal washery plant in this area. d) Chairman of the Committee suggested that the Project Proponent should take in hand the Allied Project in the same area. e) Project Proponent informed that Steel Plant is in operation at Warora since long time. Coal Block is awarded just few days back. Coal Washery will be installed in the same area and local people will be given priority in job opportunities if he or she is eligible for a particular job.

	opportunities should be extended to them. 10) Health & education assistance should be provided to local people. 11) Local people will not allow project proponent to give raw material (coal) to other outside industries for their activities. The raw material should be used here and allied manufacturing activities should be promoted in the local area only. 12) Project proponent has not informed the villagers about the use of coal generated from this mine to the steel plant which is situated at Warora. 13) Project Proponent shall give compensation as declared by today to Mr. Kader Sheikh and give a job opportunity to his son. Also as Project Proponent has declares land acquisition rate @ ₹25 Lakhs per acre. An agreement shall also be made before commissioning of the project.	

4) **Shri Omprakash Anandrao Telang, resident of Mangli Village, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal-**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	The students of Zari Jamni should be given job oriented training, skill development program to make them competent for jobs.	Point is noted.
2	As there was no sufficient rain, the Mangli village should be declared as Drought Village.	Chairman of the Committee informed that this Committee has no right to do the same.

5) **Shri Santosh Hanumantrao Kurmelwar, resident of ----**

Sr. No.	Suggestion
1	Land acquisition compensation of ₹25/- lakh per acre should be given to land looser at the earliest. Also in the project 70% job opportunities should be given to local people. He is not against the project, but local people and local farmers should not suffer.

6) **Shri Ramesh Madhavrao Pailwar, resident of Hirapur,**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Some villagers were in services with previous project. They were relieved from their jobs due to stoppage of the project. He has good experience and asked whether new project proponent will give job opportunity?	Project proponent assured to give job opportunities to old employees on top priority.

7) **Shri Sham Bhojpurwar, resident of Ardhwan village, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal-**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Due to mining activities the agriculture crop of a particular farmer may be affected. Whether compensation will be given to a particular farmer?	Project Proponent promised to give compensation after survey as per Govt. Rules.

8) **Shri Chandrakant Dashrath Gugal, Vice Sarpanch, Lahan Pandhara Kavada,**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	As Project Proponent has declared to give land acquisition compensation of Rupees 25/- Lakhs per acre, this thing shall be recorded in the minutes of the Public Hearing. Also the land acquisition	Project proponent informed that,

	compensation of Rs. 25 Lakhs per acre shall be given to the land owner whose land is earlier purchased by M/s. Topworth at Rs. 2.5 to 3.0 Lakhs per acre.	compensation of land acquired by M/s. Topworth is not in the purview of their project.
2	The company gives royalty to District Mining Department. Certain amount from the royalty should be spent on project affected area.	Point is noted.
3	In which category the job opportunities will be given to PAPs? Whether they will be appointed on daily basis or on permanent basis?	Project proponent informed that 288 job opportunities will be generated due to this project. 260 technical & 20 non-technical. After providing training to local people, job opportunities as per rule & eligibility will be given to them on priority.

9) **Shri Jayant Someshwar Uddhatwar, resident of Maravi village,**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	When will be road repaired by the Project proponent M/s. Topworth?	Chairman of the Committee informed that this issue is not in the purview of this project. It is directed to give written objections for the same to District Administration.
2	The project proponent M/s. Topworth has not undertaken any social welfare activities. Project proponent should start training center and give job opportunities to local people.	The Environmental Consultant informed that vocational & skilled training programme will be organized. The waiting list of PAPs and other local people for the same will be made. The conveyor of the Public Hearing informed that the Public Hearing is not for the project of M/s. Topworth.
3	Whether prior permission is taken from respective Grampanchayat for carrying out transportation activities for loading and unloading of coal? What is the future plan to avoid any mishap due to transportation? Project Proponent shall construct separate road for transportation of coal & same thing shall be noted in the	Project Proponent informed that coal transportation will be carried out at night to avoid any mishap.

	minutes of Public Hearing.	
4	In rainy season, if project proponent discharges untreated effluent, there will be a threat to the life of animals.	Project Proponent informed that, only treated water will be discharged to outside of the mine.

10) Shri Netaji Parkhi, resident of Mukutban, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist-Yavatmal-

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Government shall develop a system to train farmers to lift the coal from their own fields.	Point is noted.
2	Regarding job opportunities, advertisement should be published in local newspaper for PAPs and local people with details of qualification, posts & salary and the same shall be brought to the notice of local people.	Point is noted.
3	The temperature of Chandrapur is not mentioned correct in the EIA Report. The percentage of CO_2 and Nitrogen are also misleading. No steps for control of Flouride in water are mentioned in EIA report. The local people should be motivated to adopt Organic Farming.	The Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee welcomed the suggestion regarding Organic Farming.
4	Traffic Plan should be made by the project proponent in future. The plan of parking area, separate road for truck transportation should be get sanctioned and implemented by the Project Proponent and after that, permission shall be issued to coal mine. Due to mining project, surrounding agricultural land will get affected due to water of overburden. If the surrounding land is not acquired by Project Proponent then compensation shall be given to the farmer as per average income from that field.	Project proponent informed that provision for separate parking area will be made. Also necessary precautions will be taken to avoid traffic conjunction.
5	The public road is totally destroyed. As the project proponent is not repairing the same, Grampanchayat	Project Proponent informed that, CSR fund will be used properly for the betterment of the villagers.

	should repair the road. The amount should be made available from CSR fund of the respective company for repairing of this road. Audit of the CSR shall be done through Grampanchayat. Amount of CSR fund shall be increased so that from this amount affected people due to pollution will be compensation. Tall trees of 4-5 years old shall be planted in the mine area.	Also audit of CSR fund will be carried out through Grampanchayat & 4-5 years old trees will be planted.
6	The life of the project shown in EIA report is 18 years. After closing of mine, who will be the owner of this land?	Project proponent informed that, after 18 years land will be handed over to the Government.

11) <u>Shri Sunandan Reddy, an Environmentalist from Hyderabad –</u>

Sr. No.	Suggestions
1	He shown his support to the project.

12) <u>Shri Gajanan Mukutwar, resident of</u>

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Compensation shall be extended to land owners with job opportunities. But is there any rehabilitation plan for the agricultural labors who will get unemployed after land acquisition?	Point is noted.
2	There is mining activities all over in Yavatmal district. Due to these activities one day Mukutban village will face land-sliding problem.	Environmental Consultant of the Project Proponent informed that they have obtained Approved Mining Plan. The pit will be reclaimed upto 87%, Hence land sliding will not arise in future.
3	As Project Proponent will carry mining activities for 18 years, who will be the owner of the respective plot after 18 years after closing the mining activities?	Project proponent informed that, after completion of 18 years, the respective plot will be handed over to Government.

13) **Shri Santosh Nilkanth Dhengale, resident of Marki village, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal-**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Total land of the particular area should be acquired by the Project Proponent in single attempt. Project proponent should not acquire land plot-wise. If acquired by plot-wise, the remaining farmers have repercussions and fear of ill-effects of pollution on crops and land. After commissioning of mine, water scarcity problem will arise and farmers will be unable to cultivate in remaining field.	Project proponent informed that, whatever land is allotted to the project by the Govt. only that land will be acquired. After survey, compensation will be given to the farmers whose crops are damaged.
2	Whether ground water will be depleted?	Environment Consultant of the project informed that there may be depletion. As per the policy of Govt. of India, "Water Security Plan" is mandatory. It will be prepared and implemented. He informed that study of source of drinking water, irrigation water and animals of Ardhwan village for the next 25 years is carried out. Same alongwith present status is mentioned in EIA report.

14) **Shri Ashish Fulsange, resident of Lahan Pandhara Kavada, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal-**

Sr. No.	Issue Raised
1	The area is suffering due to dust pollution. But no action is taken by MPCB. MPCB is not taking any steps for giving compensation to the affected farmers.
2	There is lot of unemployment amongst youths in the region. Also after acquiring agricultural land, young agricultural labour will also become unemployed. There is a need of providing training to the unemployed for the betterment of their livelihood vide Skill Development program.
3	Also at the time of registration, cost of land must be recorded as ₹25 Lakhs per acre in Govt. records.

15) Shri Prakash Mekalwar, Former Sarpanch of Ardhan, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist- Yavatmal-

Sr. No.	Suggestions
1	The project proponent has given false information in the EIA report.
2	The local people are not treated properly by the Project Proponent. The Project Proponent says that building of road is responsibility of PWD. If the road is damaged by the project proponent due to heavy transportation then it should be repaired by the Project Proponent only. The feelings of the local people shall be respected by the Project proponent.
3	Minutes of Public Hearing shall be made available to the Public.

16) Shri Azad Dudhatwar, At Post-Marki Budruk, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist-Yavatmal –

Sr. No.	Issue Raised
1	The owner of the plot will get the compensation. But agriculture labor will not get anything. It means that 50% local people will not get anything.

17) Shri Anil Telang, Kolsa Khan Prakalap Sangarsha Samiti-

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Few years back a company namely, M/s. B.S. Ispat Ltd., was also planned to establish coal mining project. In their report, it was promised that 400 persons will be given job opportunities, out of 400, 360 were skilled and 40 were unskilled. In present EIA report, they have mentioned that job opportunities will be available for 300 people. NEERI, Nagpur has also taken objection about earlier project. The formula used to calculate particles of velocity is not observed anywhere. The project was planned in area of 65 villages. Central Government has carried out the survey of this area & found that there is adequate amount of coal & copper in this area due to which	Project proponent informed that terms & conditions related with earlier project is not considered. This is new project and accordingly, study was carried out & for the same today's Public Hearing is in progress.

	projects are coming in this area. Also the cost of land in this area is less as compared to other areas. In the year 2004, the Public Hearing of M/s. B.S. Ispat was cancelled due to objection raised by local people. Information regarding improvement made by project proponent shall be made available. Whether land will be acquired as per LAR, 2013?	
2	Due to mining activity, the process of photo synthesis is minimized which affects the production of cotton. What measures will be adopted to arrest pollution during transportation and mining activities?	Project Proponent informed that water sprinkling, fogger system will be installed to prevent dust pollution at source. Also tree plantation will be carried out.
3	Whether Indian Technology or German Technology will be adopted for mining activity?	Project Proponent informed that Indian technology will be adopted.
4	Due to use of Indian Technology there will be pollution. It will be affecting the growth of agricultural crops. The Project Proponent does not have any system to reduce density of particles in atmosphere. After receipt of adequate compensation, then & only then land shall be handed over to the Project Proponent. This is an old project. Public Hearing shall not be conducted on interim report & shall be conducted only on final report.	Project Proponent informed that, as per the EIA Notification, the draft EIA report is prepared. The suggestions, objections will be incorporated in the final EIA report which will be submitted to Government. The procedure of public hearing is to incorporate the suggestions made by local people.
5	In the radius of 10 k.m., there is dam of Khadak Doh. The dust emissions from the mine will pollute the drinking water source. 4 nos. of villages are covered under the said Project. Expansion of project will be carried out in the near future which will affect water quality of the dam.	Project Proponent informed that, the Khadak Doh Dam is within 10 km radius of the project and there will not be any adverse effect on the quality of water of Khadak Doh Dam.

18) <u>Shri Vasudev Laxmanrao Vidhate, resident of Marvi, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist-Yavatmal –</u>

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	The EIA report prepared for the project is totally wrong and misleading the Government and local people. The EIA report is not prepared as per Terms of Reference sanctioned by the Government. The Khadak Doh is within 10 k.m. radius of the project, still project proponent informs that there will not be any adverse effect on the quality of water of dam. Hence, the report should be declared as illegal and public hearing shall be postponed and it shall be conducted again. Authorized person's signatures were not observed on the copy of TOR which is enclosed in EIA report kept for public at Sub-Divisional Office, Kelapur. Therefore, the EIA report shall be declared as illegal.	Project Proponent shown the copy of EIA report which is kept at Sub Divisional Office, Kelapur and other Government offices. The TOR in these copies observed duly signed by the authorized persons. The TOR is observed duly signed by authorized person in the copy which was made available to Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee and Addl. District Magistrate.
2	Project Proponent has submitted the proposal to conduct public hearing to MPCB on 09-08-2018. As per EIA Notification the public hearing is to be conducted within 45 days from the date of application. But the public hearing/consultation is conducted after 70 days. This is violation of EIA Notification. Also MPCB has no right to conduct Public Hearing after 45 days. MPCB, Project Proponent and Environment Consultant are misleading the Revenue Officials and common people.	Chairman of the Committee informed that the point is noted.
3	All copies of TOR are not made available to general public, the points from no.1 to no.4 are deleted. It means that certain information is hidden from general public. Hence Criminal Action should be initiated against the concerned person.	Chairman of the Committee informed that the copy of TOR available with him has all pages of TOR. Also same is observed in the copies of EIA report which are available at the time of Public Hearing.

	Environment Consultant is misleading all and report is totally vague. Also it is informed that the points raised during the hearing shall be incorporated in the final EIA report, however, there is uncertainty about whether these points will be incorporated in final draft or not? Copy of final draft report shall be made available for general public.	
4)	1. The information regarding flora and fauna is not included in the EIA report. The existence of Tiger Movement is also not mentioned in the report and not obtained No-Objection Certificate (NOC) from the competent authorities like Forest Department.	Project proponent informed that, as the project is not located in forest area, there is no need to obtain NOC from Forest Department. Also information regarding existence of tiger will be collected & included in EIA report.
	2. Another State boundary falls in 10 km radius of the project. Hence as per EIA Notification, another public hearing should be conducted in Telangana State. The clearance may be granted after conducting Public Hearing in Telangana State.	Project proponent informed that, area of the project is located in Maharashtra State only and hence, as per EIA Notification Public Hearing is conducted only in Maharashtra State and question of conduction of Public Hearing in Telangana does not arise.
	3. Due to operation of many mines & cement plants in Zari-Jamni Taluka, ground water level observed decreased. Also earlier project proponent has not fulfilled the assurances given in previous public hearing. Hence, now, how can we believe on the promises made by the project proponent regarding water security plan? Same thing was also brought to the notice of local govt. officials, however, none of them took any action. Project proponent shall give compensation of ₹25 Lakhs per acre as declared.	Environment Consultant informed the Water Security Plan which is made as per the guidelines of Govt. of India will be implemented.

5	In EIA report on Page No.8 in Column 7, information of private land, Agriculture Land and Wasteland is given. But agricultural land is shown as zero. It means that all the land is private land. Information about land shown in EIA report is not correct.	a) Project proponent informed that on Page no. 8 & 9 detailed information of land is shown in EIA report. Agricultural land is included in private land and if necessary, same will be rectified.

19) <u>Shri Tukaram Pandurang Aadhe,, resident of Bhendala village, Tal-Zari-Jamni, Dist-Yavatmal –</u>

Sr. No.	Suggestions
1	The land acquisition of villages Bhendal, Ardhwan & Ruikot shall be done in single attempt. The whole village land shall be purchased in one attempt.

20) <u>Shri Rahul Saraf, resident of Balaji Ward, Dist-Chandrapur –</u>

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Land acquisition done by the project proponent in the past at Mukutban was carried out in an improper manner. If Project Proponent is ready to give compensation of ₹25/- Lakhs per acre, there should be tri-party agreement between Project Proponent, concern farmer and District Administration. The tri-party agreement shall be done before sending proceedings of Public Hearing. And land acquisition shall be made as Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation Act, 2013.	The point is noted.
2	The information of land acquisition with survey number and name of the owner is not published in local newspaper and Gram Panchayat Office. Same shall be displayed in Grampanchayat Office and appealed for transparent business. If project proponent purchases 75% land of any village then remaining 25% land must also be purchased by the project	The point is noted.

	proponent and simultaneously rehabilitation of the whole village shall be carried out. Land acquisition shall be carried out in a single attempt. After acquisition of land list of the farmers with survey numbers and amount received shall be made available to the public. Above procedure shall be carried out in time bound manner.	
3	Job opportunities for inheritors shall be given and both i.e. land acquisition and job shall be offered at the same time. Also if PAPs are not ready to accept job offer or Project proponent is unable to give job offer then is there any provision regarding this issue.	The point is noted.
4	Job opportunities shall be given as per qualification and quota for handicapped persons shall be maintained.	The point is noted.
4	Coal transportation shall be carried out through closed compact trucks instead of covering tarpaulin on trucks.	The point is noted.
5	Life of mine will be just 18 years and Project Proponent is ready to take insurance coverage of only five years. It should be upto life of Mine. Also as social responsibility Project proponent shall obtain insurance of all villagers for 18 years.	The point is noted.
6	The royalty and CSR Fund should fixed with per ton basis and fund should be made available to Grampanchayat for the betterment of the villagers. Project proponent shall establish training center & skill development course for local youths. Provide 50% reservation in all job opportunities for the local. After closing of mine tree plantation shall be done as per policy of MPCB. Project proponent informed that, after	The point is noted.

	closing mine land will be handed over to original owner. This shall be recorded in agreement made during land acquisition.	
7	As a procedure after observing non-compliances of consent conditions, MPCB forfeits bank guarantee of the polluters. But it is necessary to take stringent action in this matter.	The point is noted.
8	The EIA report is not properly prepared. Area of Buffer Zone is not mentioned in the EIA Report. On page no.26, though it is mentioned that coal washeries will be established, its time-bound plan, proposed budget and land requirement is not mentioned. It should be included in Final EIA Report.	Noted.

21) <u>Shri Vijay Anandrao Piturkar, resident of Marki Mangli, Dist-Yavatmal, Former Zilla Parishad, Yavatmal –</u>

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	The life of mine is 18 years. After closure of mining project, what is future of the workers who have worked for the success of project? Whether any package/pension scheme will be given for their livelihood after closure of project. Also whether there is any proposal for the people who are dependent on these fields? How 288 job opportunities are counted in the project of area of 198 Ha. The study of indirect job opportunities shall be carried out & mentioned in the report.	The point is noted.
2	The public hearing is for the Environmental issues. It means that all aspects of Environment should be covered. The direct and indirect effects on animals and crops due to this mining project pollution should	The point is noted.

	also be considered. It is experienced by the local people that the fertility power of the people & animals is affected. Hence in the Public Hearing Panel, experts from Health, Agriculture and Animal Husbandry shall be included. Information regarding Nalla diversion, closing of existing Nalla and forming of new Nalla due to overburden is not included in EIA report. Measures to avoid land sliding is not included in the EIA report. LAR, 2013 shall be followed.	
3	There is no information about effects on public health, requirements of hospitals etc. in EIA report. Local people are not against the development, but rehabilitation and job opportunities/livelihood are the constitutional rights. This aspect should be covered in the report. The information regarding effects on fish Farming is not included in the EIA report. Hence it is necessary to mention the above points in EIA report & after that Public hearing shall be conducted.	Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee here remarked that effects on animals and insurance scheme shall be mentioned in the EIA report. Also information of Nalla diversion & fishing related information shall be included in EIA Report.

22) <u>Shri Shankar Dattatraya Lakade, Sarpanch, Mukutban Village, Tal-Zari - Jamni, Dist - Yavatmal –</u>

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	Due to this project, if at all any threat or ill-effects occur, first the people of Mukutban village are going to suffer. The people are not against the industrial development. But their rights shall be protected. The promises given by the project proponent shall be followed strictly. The people of Mukutban should be provided all infrastructure and social development facilities.	The point is noted.
2	Effluent of the project after treatment	The point is noted.

	only shall be discharged to Mama Talav (Mama Lake), as there are about 2000 families depends on fishing activities which is carried out in the lake. Also if there is water in this lake then water shortage problem does not arise in the village.	
3	Due to dust pollution, the people of Mukutban may suffer. Hence project officials should provide Health facilities and Ambulance to the people. The local people and their children shall be trained for job opportunities in the projects. They should be given job opportunities on priority on basis of the scheme followed by M/s. WCL. Also after intimation, land of the farmers shall be purchased & then mining activity shall be carried out.	The point is noted.
4	While purchasing the new land, first the tax should be paid to local Mukutban Grampanchayat. He further informed that there should be tri-party agreement between Project Proponent, District Administration and concern farmers and compensation amount of ₹25 Lakhs shall be given to the farmers.	The point is noted.
5	If at all any accident occurs due to coal transportation, the project proponent will be solely responsible for the same. Project proponent shall build separate road for transportation of coal. Also share the information like how much amount will be spent through CSR fund for the betterment of village. After closing of mine by 18 years, pension shall be given to employees for next 30 years.	The point is noted.

23) Shri Gajanan Baburaoji Barshettiwar, Mukutban Village, Tal- Zari - Jamni, Dist - Yavatmal –

Sr. No.	Issue Raised	Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB
1	M/s. Birla Industries has made encroachment in their agricultural land and police threats me.	Chairman informed the Tahsildar to look into the matter & find out solution.

The Chairman of the Public Hearing called upon the people present if there are any other question /issues, the same may be asked. However, nobody came with any question, announced that copy of the proceedings and CD of the public hearing will be made available in due course of time in MPCB office at Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, First Floor, Udyog Bhavan, Opposite Bus-Stand, Railway Station Road, Chandrapur.

The Chairman of the Committee while concluding the proceedings, summarized various points raised and declared that public hearing is ended, and concluded the public hearing with vote of thanks.

(Pratap Jagtap)
Convener of the Public Hearing Committee and Sub Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur

(Raju Vasave)
Member of the Public Hearing Committee and I/c Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur

(Narendra Fulzele)
Additional District Magistrate, Yavatmal, & Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee