

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.83 OF 2006

Akhil Bharat Krishi Go-Seva Sangh
and ors. : Petitioners.

versus

M/s. Sonankur Exports Pvt.Ltd. & ors. : Respondents.

Mr.C.U.Kamdar with Mr.K.K.Tated for the petitioners.

Mr.Rajiv Kumar i/by M/s.Vyas and Bhalwal for
Respondent No.1.

Mr.Raju Chavan with Mrs.Rutuja Ambekar for Union of
India.

Mr.P.M.Patil, AGP, for Respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Mr.Nitin Jamdar for Respondent No.6.

Mr.Abhay L. Patki for Respondent Nos.7 and 8.

CORAM : BILAL NAZKI &
S.S.SHINDE, JJ.,
DATED : JUNE 18, 2008.

P.C.

1. This petition is filed in public interest seeking directions to Respondent Nos.2 to 7 to permanently cancel and/or withdraw their consents for

the project of Respondent No.1 for setting up the slaughter-house on land bearing Gat No.67 at village Mulegaon Tanda, Taluka South Solapur, District Solapur. The petitioners wanted that Respondent No.1 should not establish the slaughter-house for which Respondent No.1 had been granted permission. According to the learned counsel for Respondent No.1, this slaughter-house is already operative. During the course of hearing of this matter, various orders were passed and by one order dated 6th October 2005, passed in another writ petition, a committee was constituted by the Court, headed by retired Judge of this Court. The committee has filed its report. The respondents have filed their objections to the report. Since Respondent No.1 has been granted permission and they are running the slaughter-house and the petitioners object it, we feel that it will be proper for the Government to consider the matter, if representation is made by the petitioners against running of the slaughter house by Respondent No.1. If such a representation is made, the Government shall consider all the materials and come to its own conclusion as to whether by establishing the slaughter house by Respondent No.1 any law is violated. The Government may also take into consideration the report submitted to this Court by the committee constituted

by it and also the objections filed by the respondents to the said report before passing any order. The Government shall hear the petitioners as well as the respondents and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. It is made clear that the report of the committee has not been approved by this court and it will be for the Government to consider the report on its own merits. The PIL is disposed of.

[BILAL NAZKI, J]

[S.S.SHINDE, J]