

MAHARASHTRA POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD



Minutes of 7th Consent Appraisal Committee Meeting of 2011 held on 30.09.2011 at 11:00 Hrs. at Conference Hall, MPC Board, Kalpataru Point, 4th Floor, Sion Circle, Sion (E), Mumbai 22.

.....

The Consent Appraisal Committee meeting of the Board held on 30.09.2011. Following members of the Consent Appraisal Committee were present:

1. Shri. J. S. Sahni, Chairman
Chairman, MPC Board, Mumbai. Chairman
2. Shri. Milind Mhaiskar, Member Secretary
Member Secretary, MPC Board, Mumbai. Member Secretary
3. Shri. P. P. Nandusekar Member
Representative, MIDC, Mumbai. Member

The Secretary, Home (Transport) Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai, Member and Scientist & Head, NEERI, Mumbai, Special Invitee could not attend the meeting. Leave of absence was granted to them.

Chairman of the committee welcomed the members of the committee and allowed proceeding of the meeting to start. The minutes of the 6th Consent Appraisal Committee (CAC) meeting of 2011 held on 21.09.2011 circulated under Board's letter No. B-5214 dated 22.09.2011 were confirmed. The follow up action report of CAC meetings held earlier on 17.08.2011 & 21.09.2011 were circulated vide no. 5364 dtd. 29.09.2011 also placed before the CAC and noted. It was decided that henceforth, agenda item for which action has been completed will be dropped after once having been put up to CAC. For other items reporting to CAC indicating status should continue till the action is completed and reported as such to CAC.

The meeting thereafter deliberated on the agenda items placed before the committee and following decisions were taken.

Agenda No.	Name of Industry	Validity of Existing consent	Decision on grant of consent	Consent granted upto	Remarks/Discussion
1	M/s. Lokmangal sugar Ethanol & Co-generation	30.04.2010	Not Approved	...	CAO to check the compliance in respect of

	Industries Ltd. (Sugar unit), A/p. Bhandar Kavathe, Tal. South Solapur				whether the industry has paid consent fees for two years i.e. upto 30.04.2012. It was decided to take the review of Environmental Statement (what does it shown) and put up in next CAC.
2	M/s. Shree Dnyaneshwar S.S.K. Ltd. (Sugar Unit), At Bhende, PO & Tal. Newasa, Dist. Ahmednagar	31.12.2009	Not Approved	...	The Committee pointed out that the processing sheet of the application and summary sheet are totally contradictory in respect of SRO's recommendations, BG details, compliance of ETP details, JVS results, etc. It was decided to put up in next CAC along with detailed report from JD(WPC).
3	M/s. Bilt Graphic Paper Products Ltd., Unit Ballarpur, P.O. Ballarpur Paper Mills, Ballarpur, Dist. Chandrapur	Unit A: 31.12.2011 Unit B: 31.07.2011	Not Approved Amalgamation of two consents (main plant & paper machine)	...	An issue was raised regarding effective date for amalgamation and why amalgamation of two consents was not effected earlier. What action has been taken on the non-compliance of treated effluent JVS results and stack monitoring results as they are exceeding consented standards? JD(WPC) informed that their results for May-June are exceeding the norms. The industry will complete the upgradation by oct'2011. It was not clear as to when the CAAQM condition was imposed and when was the same to be complied with. It was decided to put up all these matter clearly on record and also, call the report from RO regarding legal action, up-gradation status, complaints, etc. and put up in next CAC.

4	M/s. Raymond UCO Denim Pvt. Ltd., C-1, MIDC Lohara, Dist. Yavatmal	Existing: 30.11.2012	Approved Expansion	Commission -ing of the unit or 5 yrs whichever is earlier.	<p>It was pointed that the processing sheet shows the existing C.I. as Rs. 16.60 Crs. An issue which arose is why the case was placed before CAC? JD(WPC) informed that Rs. 16.60 Crs. is the C.I. of proposed plant and we consider total cost of the project which is Rs. 444.97 Crs. Hence, case is placed before CAC. JD(WPC) further informed that industry has increased the boiler efficiency and so capacity of the plant increased from 5 MW to 6 MW and hence, there is no need of obtaining Environmental Clearance. Committee informed that as per EIA Notification, 2006 and amendments thereto, it is necessary to obtain Environmental Clearance for the said activity.</p> <p>It was decided to grant consent with the condition that Industry shall obtain Environmental Clearance as per EIA Notification, 2006 and amendments thereto.</p>
5	M/s. Suktas India Pvt. Ltd., T-8, Five Star MIDC Kagal, Tal. Kagal, Dist. Kolhapur	30.11.2010	Not Approved	...	<p>It was pointed that JVS results noted in the processing sheet are old and in these the norms are not being met. JD(WPC) replied that the industry is having full fledge ETP. In-spite of this, JVS results are exceeding the norms. It was felt that this required clarification.</p> <p>Hence, it was decided to put up the case in next CAC alongwith all details.</p>
6	M/s. Shree Renuka Sugars Ltd. (Co-generation) Unit M/s. D.B. Ratnappanna	30.09.2010	Approved	30.09.2011	JD(WPC) was asked regarding the total C.I. of the said industry and whether this co-

	Kumbhar Panchganga SSK Ltd., Ganganagar, Ichalkaranji, Tal. Hatkanangale, Dist. Kolhapur				<p>generation plant is separate or in the same premises. JD(WPC) informed that Rs. 105.63 Crs. is the C.I. of co-gen plant and it is in same premises. The total C.I. of the industry, however, was not available during the meeting.</p> <p>It was decided to grant renewal of consent for validity period upto 30.09.2012 after obtaining required consent fees as per revised consent circular dtd. 25.08.2011 from the industry and subject to policy decision on note on amalgamation of consent.</p>
7	M/s. Abhishek Corporation Mill Ltd., Gat No. 148, Tamgaon, Kolhaver-Hupri Road, Tal. Karveer, Dist. Kolhapur	30.04.2010	Not Approved	...	<p>The note showed total non-compliance of consent conditions. JD(WPC) gave the information that industry has complied the consent conditions. The compliance needed be put on record in writing.</p> <p>It was decided to put up in next CAC alongwith HOD comments in writing.</p>
8	M/s. Swaraj Indis Agro Ltd., Gat No. 332, A/p. Upalave, Tal. Phaltan, Dist. Satara	...	Approved	Commissioning of the unit or 5 yrs whichever is earlier.	<p>Chairman asked JD(WPC) regarding his opinion about disposal of treated effluent on murmy land. MS informed that plantation can not be done on such land.</p> <p>It was decided to grant consent only after getting details for disposal of treated effluent.</p> <p>Industry shall obtain Environmental Clearance as per EIA Notification, 2006 and amendments thereto for sugar and co-gen plant.</p>

The Committee decided to discuss the remaining agenda items related to construction/ infrastructure/SEZ/Local Bodies/Tankpharm in presence of concern HOD, Shri. Y.B. Sontakke, who could not attend the meeting as he was not in town.

The CAC further deliberated on following points and directed as below;

1. The issue of various instruments to implement policies was discussed in the CAC. Thus, grant of consents, with holding of consents, giving conditional consents, asking for Bank Guarantee for compliances, and legal action against transgression were available. It was felt that there is need to have clarity regarding triggers for initiating a variety of action. A note in this regard is to be in next CAC by Sr. L.O.
2. It is necessary to bring the abstract of Environmental Statement as a part of SRO's consent processing report. CAC suggested to develop suitable guidelines in this regard & suggested to build capacity in the field. A note on the same put up in next CAC.
3. Hon'ble Chairman pointed out that sometimes, the information given orally during the meeting was not covered in the note. Hence, it was directed that HOD's views to the committee be put on record in writing, for consideration of CAC..
4. The present practice of processing of consent application was discussed in detail along with the time taken. It was felt that given the fact that grant of consent was an extremely important instrument, it was necessary to process the consents expeditiously and in a timely manner. In view of this, it was decided that the consent processing form will be sent electronically simultaneously to Regional Office and Head Office and all opinions/ queries on the proposal be put up to CAC within 15 days. To start with, given the fact that this was a new initiative, proposals be put upto CAC within 30 days of dispatch of the consent processing form by the SRO. The IMIS system should monitor the time lines and report every month to the CAC regarding adherence to these time lines.
5. Check-list was placed before the CAC to define various responsibilities at various levels for processing of CAC application. Whether the consent fees paid by industry is correct or not is the responsibility of local SRO. SRO's performance will be analyzed as per Check list enclosed. The role/ value addition at various levels of consent processing needs to be defined more clearly. A comprehensive note in this regard may please be put up to CAC within the next 15 days.
6. The need of departmental training at to the various level to improve the performance of Officers to speed-up the working was discussed and it was felt that a programme in this regard be drawn up immediately.

7. List of CAC cases due for consent in next three months shall be submitted. Advance letters regard the impending expiry of consents need to be communicated about 75 days prior to expiry. The letter should point out the information gaps previously noted in this, as well as generally.
8. A policy note in regard to amalgamation of various units attached to sugar factory needs to be put up to CAC in 15 days.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

.....